12 CONCERNS WITH the PROPOSED GOVERNMENT AMENDMENTS TO NATIONAL PARKS AND WILDLIFE ACT 1972

- 1. The Bill includes an inappropriate new objective in the Act of mining, hunting and grazing in some parks and reserves;
- 2. It includes an objective of undefined "other activities" in the "public interest" in parks and reserves;
- 3. It continues the inappropriate category of Recreation Park for a limited number of parks (which are probably marked for disposal and which can be reduced in size without parliamentary approval as for other parks/reserves);
- It fails to reflect internationally recognised understandings in its creation of new categories of parks/reserves;
- 5. It misleadingly re-names areas of National or Conservation parks reserved for potential mining as Nature reserves, without placing any appropriate restrictions on the form such mining activities may take;
- 6. It continues to misname wetland wildlife reserves as Game reserves for duck hunting;
- 7. It potentially creates an onerous new legal onus on park managers to clear areas of park/reserve to protect private property from fire;
- 8. It removes the conservation priority where mining, grazing or hunting rights are being exercised in parks/reserves;
- It entrenches mining and grazing rights in some reserves where they could previously have been removed for conservation reasons at a state, national or international level;
- 10. While the introduction in the Bill of Aboriginal co-management and ownership of some parks/reserves is supported, it creates a new loophole for downgrading National or Conservation parks to Nature reserves which allow mining rights not previously allowed;
- 11. It sets the stage for division and manipulation of Aboriginal and wider community groups by the mining industry in the same way Fortescue Metals Group have apparently acted in WA;
- 12. It contains no provisions to ensure management plans for parks/reserves are prepared or implemented within a reasonable time.

M Beresford 5/12/12